Name: .

## True/False 1

Answer whether the following statements are true or false and briefly explain your answer.

a) [TRUE/FALSE] If A is Turing-recognizable and  $\overline{A}$  is Turing-recognizable, then  $\overline{A}$  is Turing-decidable. [5 pts]

True. We could create a decider for  $\overline{A}$  by running the recognizers for A and  $\overline{A}$  in parallel.

b) [TRUE/FALSE] Let A be an NP-Hard problem. A poly-time solution to A means that all NP-Hard problems are solvable in polynomial time. [5 pts]

False. This would solve all problems in NP in poly-time, but some NP-hard problems may be harder than (and therefore not poly-time reducible to) others.

c) [TRUE/FALSE] A decision problem A is NP-Hard if and only if SAT  $\leq_p A$ . [5 pts]

True. Problems are NP-hard if every problem in NP is reducible to them, and SAT  $\in$ NP. Furthermore, since every problem in NP is poly-time reducible to SAT, there is no way for SAT to be poly-time reducible to a problem that was not NP-hard.

d) [TRUE/FALSE] There exists a pushdown automaton to decide every context-free language.

[5 pts]

True. By definition, a language is context-free if and only if some pushdown automaton exists to decide it.

## 2 Proofs

a) Let the operator  $\diamond$  be defined as follows:

$$A \diamond B = \{ st \mid s \in A \text{ and } t \in B \text{ and } |s| = |t| \}$$

Show that Turing-recognizable languages are closed under the  $\diamond$  operator.

[10 pts]

Assume that A and B are Turing-recognizable languages. Then there exist machines  $M_A$  and  $M_B$  that recognize them. We can construct a two-tape machine that decides  $A \diamond B$  as follows:

M = "On input w:

- (a) If w contains an odd number of characters, REJECT
- (b) Copy every other character starting with the second to tape 2, marking each as we go.
- (c) Remove all marked characters from tape 1
- (d) Nondeterministically run  $M_A$  on the contents of tape 1 and  $M_B$  on the contents of tape 2 in parallel.
  - i. If both machines accept, ACCEPT
  - ii. If either machine rejects, REJECT"

b) Let  $A = \{\langle D \rangle \mid D \text{ is a DFA that doesn't accept any string containing an odd number of 1s}\}$ . Show that A is decidable. [10 pts]

M = "On input  $\langle D \rangle$ :

- (a) Let  $C = \{w \mid w \text{ contains an odd number of ones}\}$ . Construct a machine D' that decides  $L(D) \cap C$
- (b) Mark the start state of D'.
- (c) Repeat until no new states are marked:

i. Mark any state in  $D^\prime$  reachable from a marked state

- (d) If any final state in D' is marked, REJECT
- (e) Accept"

- c) Let  $\text{COMP}_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid L(M_1) = \overline{L(M_2)} \}$ . Show that  $\text{COMP}_{TM}$  is undecidable. [10 pts] We can create a mapping reduction from  $A_{\text{TM}}$  as follows:  $F = \text{``On input } \langle M, w \rangle$ :
  - (a) Construct a machine  $M_1$  that decides  $\emptyset$
  - (b) Construct a machine  $M_2$  as follows:  $M_2 =$  "On input x:
    - i. Simulate M on w.
      - A. If M accepts w, ACCEPT x
      - B. If M rejects w, REJECT x"
  - (c) Output  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ "

- d) Let DOUBLESAT = {⟨Φ⟩ | Φ is a Boolean formula with (at least) two different satisfying assignments}. Show that DOUBLESAT ∈ NP. [10 pts]
  We can show that DOUBLESAT ∈ NP by constructing a poly-time deterministic verifier for it.
  Let c<sub>1</sub> and c<sub>2</sub> be truth assignments for the variables in Φ.
  We know that SAT is NP-Complete. This means that SAT ∈ NP, and there exists a poly-time deterministic verifier for SAT. Let n be the number of variables in Φ.
  - V = "On input  $\langle Phi, c_1, c_2 \rangle$ :(a) If  $c_1 = c_2$ , REJECTO(n)(b) Run  $\langle \Phi, c_1 \rangle$  through a verifier for SAT. If this verifier rejects, REJECTPoly-time(c) Run  $\langle \Phi c_2 \rangle$  through a verifier for SAT. If this verifier rejects, REJECTPoly-time(d) ACCEPT"O(1)